

# Shifted symplectic derived algebraic geometry, and extensions of Donaldson–Thomas theory

Lecture 1 of 3

Dominic Joyce, Oxford University

February 2014

Based on: arXiv:1305.6302 and arXiv:1312.0090.

Joint work with Oren Ben-Bassat, Chris Brav  
and Vittoria Bussi. Funded by the EPSRC.

Plan of talk:

- 1 PTVV's shifted symplectic geometry
- 2 A 'Darboux theorem' for shifted symplectic derived schemes
- 3 Extension to shifted symplectic derived Artin stacks

# 1. PTVV's shifted symplectic geometry

Let  $\mathbb{K}$  be an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero, e.g.  $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}$ . Work in the context of Toën and Vezzosi's theory of *derived algebraic geometry*. This gives  $\infty$ -categories of *derived  $\mathbb{K}$ -schemes*  $\mathbf{dSch}_{\mathbb{K}}$  and *derived stacks*  $\mathbf{dSt}_{\mathbb{K}}$ , including *derived Artin stacks*  $\mathbf{dArt}_{\mathbb{K}}$ . Think of a derived  $\mathbb{K}$ -scheme  $\mathbf{X}$  as a geometric space which can be covered by Zariski open sets  $\mathbf{Y} \subseteq \mathbf{X}$  with  $\mathbf{Y} \simeq \mathrm{Spec} A$  for  $A = (A, d)$  a commutative differential graded algebra (cdga) over  $\mathbb{K}$ .

# Cotangent complexes of derived schemes and stacks

Pantev, Toën, Vaquié and Vezzosi (arXiv:1111.3209) defined a notion of *k-shifted symplectic structure* on a derived  $\mathbb{K}$ -scheme or derived  $\mathbb{K}$ -stack  $\mathbf{X}$ , for  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ . This is complicated, but here is the basic idea. The *cotangent complex*  $\mathbb{L}_{\mathbf{X}}$  of  $\mathbf{X}$  is an element of a derived category  $L_{\mathrm{qcoh}}(\mathbf{X})$  of quasicoherent sheaves on  $\mathbf{X}$ . It has exterior powers  $\Lambda^p \mathbb{L}_{\mathbf{X}}$  for  $p = 0, 1, \dots$ . The *de Rham differential*  $d_{dR} : \Lambda^p \mathbb{L}_{\mathbf{X}} \rightarrow \Lambda^{p+1} \mathbb{L}_{\mathbf{X}}$  is a morphism of complexes, though not of  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{X}}$ -modules. Each  $\Lambda^p \mathbb{L}_{\mathbf{X}}$  is a complex, so has an internal differential  $d : (\Lambda^p \mathbb{L}_{\mathbf{X}})^k \rightarrow (\Lambda^p \mathbb{L}_{\mathbf{X}})^{k+1}$ . We have  $d^2 = d_{dR}^2 = d \circ d_{dR} + d_{dR} \circ d = 0$ .

## $p$ -forms and closed $p$ -forms

A  $p$ -form of degree  $k$  on  $\mathbf{X}$  for  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  is an element  $[\omega^0]$  of  $H^k(\Lambda^p \mathbb{L}_{\mathbf{X}}, d)$ . A closed  $p$ -form of degree  $k$  on  $\mathbf{X}$  is an element

$$[(\omega^0, \omega^1, \dots)] \in H^k(\bigoplus_{i=0}^{\infty} \Lambda^{p+i} \mathbb{L}_{\mathbf{X}}[i], d + d_{dR}).$$

There is a projection  $\pi : [(\omega^0, \omega^1, \dots)] \mapsto [\omega^0]$  from closed  $p$ -forms  $[(\omega^0, \omega^1, \dots)]$  of degree  $k$  to  $p$ -forms  $[\omega^0]$  of degree  $k$ .

Note that a closed  $p$ -form is not a special example of a  $p$ -form, but a  $p$ -form with an extra structure. The map  $\pi$  from closed  $p$ -forms to  $p$ -forms can be neither injective nor surjective.

## Nondegenerate 2-forms and symplectic structures

Let  $[\omega^0]$  be a 2-form of degree  $k$  on  $\mathbf{X}$ . Then  $[\omega^0]$  induces a morphism  $\omega^0 : \mathbb{T}_{\mathbf{X}} \rightarrow \mathbb{L}_{\mathbf{X}}[k]$ , where  $\mathbb{T}_{\mathbf{X}} = \mathbb{L}_{\mathbf{X}}^{\vee}$  is the tangent complex of  $\mathbf{X}$ . We call  $[\omega^0]$  nondegenerate if  $\omega^0 : \mathbb{T}_{\mathbf{X}} \rightarrow \mathbb{L}_{\mathbf{X}}[k]$  is a quasi-isomorphism.

If  $\mathbf{X}$  is a derived scheme then  $\mathbb{L}_{\mathbf{X}}$  lives in degrees  $(-\infty, 0]$  and  $\mathbb{T}_{\mathbf{X}}$  in degrees  $[0, \infty)$ . So  $\omega^0 : \mathbb{T}_{\mathbf{X}} \rightarrow \mathbb{L}_{\mathbf{X}}[k]$  can be a quasi-isomorphism only if  $k \leq 0$ , and then  $\mathbb{L}_{\mathbf{X}}$  lives in degrees  $[k, 0]$  and  $\mathbb{T}_{\mathbf{X}}$  in degrees  $[0, -k]$ . If  $k = 0$  then  $\mathbf{X}$  is a smooth classical  $\mathbb{K}$ -scheme, and if  $k = -1$  then  $\mathbf{X}$  is quasi-smooth.

A closed 2-form  $\omega = [(\omega^0, \omega^1, \dots)]$  of degree  $k$  on  $\mathbf{X}$  is called a  $k$ -shifted symplectic structure if  $[\omega^0] = \pi(\omega)$  is nondegenerate.

## Calabi–Yau moduli schemes and moduli stacks

Pantev et al. prove that if  $Y$  is a Calabi–Yau  $m$ -fold over  $\mathbb{K}$  and  $\mathcal{M}$  is a derived moduli scheme or stack of (complexes of) coherent sheaves on  $Y$ , then  $\mathcal{M}$  has a natural  $(2 - m)$ -shifted symplectic structure  $\omega$ . So Calabi–Yau 3-folds give  $-1$ -shifted derived schemes or stacks.

We can understand the associated nondegenerate 2-form  $[\omega^0]$  in terms of *Serre duality*. At a point  $[E] \in \mathcal{M}$ , we have  $h^i(\mathbb{T}_{\mathcal{M}})|_{[E]} \cong \mathrm{Ext}^{i+1}(E, E)$  and  $h^i(\mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{M}})|_{[E]} \cong \mathrm{Ext}^{1-i}(E, E)^*$ . The Calabi–Yau condition gives  $\mathrm{Ext}^i(E, E) \cong \mathrm{Ext}^{m-i}(E, E)^*$ , which corresponds to  $h^{i-1}(\mathbb{T}_{\mathcal{M}})|_{[E]} \cong h^{i-1}(\mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{M}}[2 - m])|_{[E]}$ . This is the cohomology at  $[E]$  of the quasi-isomorphism  $\omega^0 : \mathbb{T}_{\mathcal{M}} \rightarrow \mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{M}}[2 - m]$ .

## Lagrangians and Lagrangian intersections

Let  $(\mathbf{X}, \omega)$  be a  $k$ -shifted symplectic derived scheme or stack. Then Pantev et al. define a notion of *Lagrangian*  $\mathbf{L}$  in  $(\mathbf{X}, \omega)$ , which is a morphism  $\mathbf{i} : \mathbf{L} \rightarrow \mathbf{X}$  of derived schemes or stacks together with a homotopy  $\mathbf{i}^*(\omega) \sim 0$  satisfying a nondegeneracy condition, implying that  $\mathbb{T}_{\mathbf{L}} \simeq \mathbb{L}_{\mathbf{L}/\mathbf{X}}[k - 1]$ .

If  $\mathbf{L}, \mathbf{M}$  are Lagrangians in  $(\mathbf{X}, \omega)$ , then the fibre product  $\mathbf{L} \times_{\mathbf{X}} \mathbf{M}$  has a natural  $(k - 1)$ -shifted symplectic structure.

If  $(S, \omega)$  is a classical smooth symplectic scheme, then it is a 0-shifted symplectic derived scheme in the sense of PTVV, and if  $L, M \subset S$  are classical smooth Lagrangian subschemes, then they are Lagrangians in the sense of PTVV. Therefore the (derived) Lagrangian intersection  $L \cap M = L \times_S M$  is a  $-1$ -shifted symplectic derived scheme.

## Examples of Lagrangians

Let  $(\mathbf{X}, \omega)$  be  $k$ -shifted symplectic, and  $\mathbf{i}_a : \mathbf{L}_a \rightarrow \mathbf{X}$  be Lagrangian in  $\mathbf{X}$  for  $a = 1, \dots, d$ . Then Ben-Bassat (arXiv:1309.0596) shows  $\mathbf{L}_1 \times_{\mathbf{X}} \mathbf{L}_2 \times_{\mathbf{X}} \dots \times_{\mathbf{X}} \mathbf{L}_d \rightarrow (\mathbf{L}_1 \times_{\mathbf{X}} \mathbf{L}_2) \times \dots \times (\mathbf{L}_{d-1} \times_{\mathbf{X}} \mathbf{L}_d) \times (\mathbf{L}_d \times_{\mathbf{X}} \mathbf{L}_1)$  is Lagrangian, where the r.h.s. is  $(k-1)$ -shifted symplectic by PTVV. This is relevant to defining 'Fukaya categories' of complex symplectic manifolds.

Let  $Y$  be a Calabi–Yau  $m$ -fold, so that the derived moduli stack  $\mathcal{M}$  of coherent sheaves (or complexes) on  $Y$  is  $(2-m)$ -shifted symplectic by PTVV, with symplectic form  $\omega$ . We expect (Oren Ben-Bassat, work in progress) that

$$\mathbf{Exact} \xrightarrow{\pi_1 \times \pi_2 \times \pi_3} (\mathcal{M}, \omega) \times (\mathcal{M}, -\omega) \times (\mathcal{M}, \omega)$$

is Lagrangian, where  $\mathbf{Exact}$  is the derived moduli stack of short exact sequences in  $\text{coh}(Y)$  (or distinguished triangles in  $D^b \text{coh}(Y)$ ). This is relevant to Cohomological Hall Algebras.

## 2. A 'Darboux theorem' for shifted symplectic schemes

### Theorem (Brav, Bussi and Joyce arXiv:1305.6302)

Suppose  $(\mathbf{X}, \omega)$  is a  $k$ -shifted symplectic derived  $\mathbb{K}$ -scheme for  $k < 0$ . If  $k \not\equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ , then each  $x \in \mathbf{X}$  admits a Zariski open neighbourhood  $\mathbf{Y} \subseteq \mathbf{X}$  with  $\mathbf{Y} \simeq \text{Spec } A$  for  $(A, d)$  an explicit cdga over  $\mathbb{K}$  generated by graded variables  $x_j^{-i}, y_j^{k+i}$  for  $0 \leq i \leq -k/2$ , and  $\omega|_{\mathbf{Y}} = [(\omega^0, 0, 0, \dots)]$  where  $x_j^l, y_j^l$  have degree  $l$ , and

$$\omega^0 = \sum_{i=0}^{[-k/2]} \sum_{j=1}^{m_i} d_{dR} y_j^{k+i} d_{dR} x_j^{-i}.$$

Also the differential  $d$  in  $(A, d)$  is given by Poisson bracket with a Hamiltonian  $H$  in  $A$  of degree  $k+1$ .

If  $k \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ , we have two statements, one étale local with  $\omega^0$  standard, and one Zariski local with the components of  $\omega^0$  in the degree  $k/2$  variables depending on some invertible functions.

## Sketch of the proof of the theorem

Suppose  $(\mathbf{X}, \omega)$  is a  $k$ -shifted symplectic derived  $\mathbb{K}$ -scheme for  $k < 0$ , and  $x \in \mathbf{X}$ . Then  $\mathbb{L}_{\mathbf{X}}$  lives in degrees  $[k, 0]$ . We first show that we can build Zariski open  $x \in \mathbf{Y} \subseteq \mathbf{X}$  with  $\mathbf{Y} \simeq \text{Spec } A$ , for  $A = \bigoplus_{i \leq 0} A^i$  a cdga over  $\mathbb{K}$  with  $A^0$  a smooth  $\mathbb{K}$ -algebra, and such that  $A$  is freely generated over  $A^0$  by graded variables  $x_j^{-i}, y_j^{k+i}$  in degrees  $-1, -2, \dots, k$ . We take  $\dim A^0$  and the number of  $x_j^{-i}, y_j^{k+i}$  to be minimal at  $x$ .

Using theorems about periodic cyclic cohomology, we show that on  $Y \simeq \text{Spec } A$  we can write  $\omega|_Y = [(\omega^0, 0, 0, \dots)]$ , for  $\omega^0$  a 2-form of degree  $k$  with  $d\omega^0 = d_{dR}\omega^0 = 0$ . Minimality at  $x$  implies  $\omega^0$  is strictly nondegenerate near  $x$ , so we can change variables to write  $\omega^0 = \sum_{i,j} d_{dR}y_j^{k+i} d_{dR}x_j^{-i}$ . Finally, we show  $d$  in  $(A, d)$  is a symplectic vector field, which integrates to a Hamiltonian  $H$ .

## The case of $-1$ -shifted symplectic derived schemes

When  $k = -1$  the Hamiltonian  $H$  in the theorem has degree 0. Then the theorem reduces to:

### Corollary

*Suppose  $(\mathbf{X}, \omega)$  is a  $-1$ -shifted symplectic derived  $\mathbb{K}$ -scheme. Then  $(\mathbf{X}, \omega)$  is Zariski locally equivalent to a derived critical locus  $\text{Crit}(H : U \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1)$ , for  $U$  a smooth classical  $\mathbb{K}$ -scheme and  $H : U \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1$  a regular function. Hence, the underlying classical  $\mathbb{K}$ -scheme  $X = t_0(\mathbf{X})$  is Zariski locally isomorphic to a classical critical locus  $\text{Crit}(H : U \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1)$ .*

Combining this with results of Pantev et al. from §1 gives interesting consequences in classical algebraic geometry:

### Corollary

*Let  $Y$  be a Calabi–Yau 3-fold over  $\mathbb{K}$  and  $\mathcal{M}$  a classical moduli  $\mathbb{K}$ -scheme of coherent sheaves, or complexes of coherent sheaves, on  $Y$ . Then  $\mathcal{M}$  is Zariski locally isomorphic to the critical locus  $\text{Crit}(H : U \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1)$  of a regular function on a smooth  $\mathbb{K}$ -scheme.*

Here we note that  $\mathcal{M} = t_0(\mathcal{M})$  for  $\mathcal{M}$  the corresponding derived moduli scheme, which is  $-1$ -shifted symplectic by PTVV.

A complex analytic analogue of this for moduli of coherent sheaves was proved using gauge theory by Joyce and Song arXiv:0810.5645, and for moduli of complexes was claimed by Behrend and Getzler. Note that the proof of the corollary is wholly algebro-geometric.

As intersections of algebraic Lagrangians are  $-1$ -shifted symplectic, we also deduce:

### Corollary

*Let  $(S, \omega)$  be a classical smooth symplectic  $\mathbb{K}$ -scheme, and  $L, M \subseteq S$  be smooth algebraic Lagrangians. Then the intersection  $L \cap M$ , as a  $\mathbb{K}$ -subscheme of  $S$ , is Zariski locally isomorphic to the critical locus  $\text{Crit}(H : U \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1)$  of a regular function on a smooth  $\mathbb{K}$ -scheme.*

In real or complex symplectic geometry, where the Darboux Theorem holds, the analogue of the corollary is easy to prove, but in classical algebraic symplectic geometry we do not have a Darboux Theorem, so the corollary is not obvious.

## The case of $-2$ -shifted symplectic derived schemes

Let  $(\mathbf{X}, \omega)$  be a  $-2$ -shifted symplectic derived  $\mathbb{K}$ -scheme. Then the Zariski local models for  $(\mathbf{X}, \omega)$  given by the 'Darboux Theorem' depend on the following data:

- A smooth  $\mathbb{K}$ -scheme  $U$
- An algebraic vector bundle  $E \rightarrow U$
- A section  $s \in H^0(E)$
- A nondegenerate quadratic form  $Q$  on  $E$  with  $Q(s, s) = 0$ .

The underlying classical  $\mathbb{K}$ -scheme  $X$  of  $\mathbf{X}$  is locally  $s^{-1}(0) \subset U$ . The virtual dimension of  $\mathbf{X}$  is  $\text{vdim}_{\mathbb{K}} \mathbf{X} = 2 \dim_{\mathbb{K}} U - \text{rank}_{\mathbb{K}} E$ . The cotangent complex  $\mathbb{L}_{\mathbf{X}}|_X$  of  $\mathbf{X}$  is locally given by

$$\left[ \begin{array}{c} TU \\ -2 \end{array} \Big|_{s^{-1}(0)} \xrightarrow{Q \circ ds} \begin{array}{c} E^* \\ -1 \end{array} \Big|_{s^{-1}(0)} \xrightarrow{ds} \begin{array}{c} T^*U \\ 0 \end{array} \Big|_{s^{-1}(0)} \right].$$

We will use this in lecture 3 to define Donaldson–Thomas style invariants 'counting' coherent sheaves on Calabi–Yau 4-folds.

## 3. Extension to shifted symplectic derived Artin stacks

In Ben-Bassat, Bussi, Brav and Joyce arXiv:1312.0090 we extend the material of §2 from (derived) schemes to (derived) Artin stacks. We call a derived stack  $\mathbf{X}$  a *derived Artin stack*  $\mathbf{X}$  if it is 1-geometric, and the associated classical (higher) stack  $X = t_0(\mathbf{X})$  is 1-truncated, all in the sense of Toën and Vezzosi. Then the cotangent complex  $\mathbb{L}_{\mathbf{X}}$  lives in degrees  $(-\infty, 1]$ , and  $X = t_0(\mathbf{X})$  is a classical Artin stack (in particular, not a higher stack).

A derived Artin stack  $\mathbf{X}$  admits a smooth atlas  $\varphi : \mathbf{U} \rightarrow \mathbf{X}$  with  $\mathbf{U}$  a derived scheme. If  $Y$  is a smooth projective scheme and  $\mathcal{M}$  is a derived moduli stack of coherent sheaves  $F$  on  $Y$ , or of complexes  $F^\bullet$  in  $D^b \text{coh}(Y)$  with  $\text{Ext}^{<0}(F^\bullet, F^\bullet) = 0$ , then  $\mathcal{M}$  is a derived Artin stack.



## A 'Darboux Theorem' for atlases of derived stacks

### Theorem (Ben-Bassat, Bussi, Brav, Joyce, arXiv:1312.0090)

Let  $(\mathbf{X}, \omega_{\mathbf{X}})$  be a  $k$ -shifted symplectic derived Artin stack for  $k < 0$ , and  $p \in \mathbf{X}$ . Then there exist 'standard form' affine derived schemes  $\mathbf{U} = \mathrm{Spec} A$ ,  $\mathbf{V} = \mathrm{Spec} B$ , points  $u \in \mathbf{U}$ ,  $v \in \mathbf{V}$  with  $A, B$  minimal at  $u, v$ , morphisms  $\varphi : \mathbf{U} \rightarrow \mathbf{X}$  and  $\mathbf{i} : \mathbf{U} \rightarrow \mathbf{V}$  with  $\varphi(u) = p$ ,  $\mathbf{i}(u) = v$ , such that  $\varphi$  is smooth of relative dimension  $\dim H^1(\mathbb{L}_{\mathbf{X}}|_p)$ , and  $t_0(\mathbf{i}) : t_0(\mathbf{U}) \rightarrow t_0(\mathbf{V})$  is an isomorphism on classical schemes, and  $\mathbb{L}_{\mathbf{U}/\mathbf{V}} \simeq \mathbb{T}_{\mathbf{U}/\mathbf{X}}[1 - k]$ , and a 'Darboux form'  $k$ -shifted symplectic form  $\omega_B$  on  $\mathbf{V} = \mathrm{Spec} B$  such that  $\mathbf{i}^*(\omega_B) \sim \varphi^*(\omega_{\mathbf{X}})$  in  $k$ -shifted closed 2-forms on  $\mathbf{U}$ .

## Discussion of the 'Darboux Theorem' for stacks

Let  $(\mathbf{X}, \omega_{\mathbf{X}})$  be a  $k$ -shifted symplectic derived Artin stack for  $k < 0$ , and  $p \in \mathbf{X}$ . Although we do not know how to give a complete, explicit 'standard model' for  $(\mathbf{X}, \omega_{\mathbf{X}})$  near  $p$ , we can give standard models for a smooth atlas  $\varphi : \mathbf{U} \rightarrow \mathbf{X}$  for  $\mathbf{X}$  near  $p$  with  $\mathbf{U} = \mathrm{Spec} A$  a derived scheme, and for the pullback 2-form  $\varphi^*(\omega_{\mathbf{X}})$ . We may think of  $\varphi : \mathbf{U} \rightarrow \mathbf{X}$  as an open neighbourhood of  $p$  in the smooth topology, rather than the Zariski topology. Now  $(\mathbf{U}, \varphi^*(\omega_{\mathbf{X}}))$  is not  $k$ -shifted symplectic, as  $\varphi^*(\omega_{\mathbf{X}})$  is closed, but not nondegenerate. However, there is a way to modify  $\mathbf{U}, A$  to get another derived scheme  $\mathbf{V} = \mathrm{Spec} B$ , where  $A$  has generators in degrees  $0, -1, \dots, -k - 1$ , and  $B \subseteq A$  is the dg-subalgebra generated by the generators in degrees  $0, -1, \dots, -k$  only.

Then  $\mathbf{V}$  has a natural  $k$ -shifted symplectic form  $\omega_B$ , which we may take to be in 'Darboux form' as in §2, with  $\mathbf{i}^*(\omega_B) \sim \varphi^*(\omega_X)$ . In terms of cotangent complexes,  $\mathbb{L}_{\mathbf{U}}$  is obtained from  $\varphi^*(\mathbb{L}_{\mathbf{X}})$  by deleting a vector bundle  $\mathbb{L}_{\mathbf{U}/\mathbf{X}}$  in degree 1. Also  $\mathbb{L}_{\mathbf{V}}$  is obtained from  $\mathbb{L}_{\mathbf{U}}$  by deleting the dual vector bundle  $\mathbb{T}_{\mathbf{U}/\mathbf{X}}$  in degree  $k - 1$ . As these two deletions are dual under  $\varphi^*(\omega_X)$ , the symplectic form descends to  $\mathbf{V}$ .

An example in which we have this picture

$(\mathbf{V}, \omega_B) \xleftarrow{\mathbf{i}} \mathbf{U} \xrightarrow{\varphi} (\mathbf{X}, \omega_X)$  is a ' $k$ -shifted symplectic quotient', when an algebraic group  $G$  acts on a  $k$ -shifted symplectic derived scheme  $(\mathbf{V}, \omega_B)$  with 'moment map'  $\mu \in H^k(\mathbf{V}, \mathfrak{g}^* \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{V}})$ , and  $\mathbf{U} = \mu^{-1}(0)$ , and  $X = [\mathbf{U}/G]$ . (See Safronov arXiv:1311.6429.)

## -1-shifted symplectic derived stacks

When  $k = -1$ ,  $(\mathbf{V}, \omega_B)$  is a derived critical locus  $\mathbf{Crit}(f : S \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1)$  for  $S$  a smooth scheme. Then  $t_0(\mathbf{V}) \cong t_0(\mathbf{U})$  is the classical critical locus  $\mathbf{Crit}(f : S \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1)$ , and  $U = t_0(\mathbf{U})$  is a smooth atlas for the classical Artin stack  $X = t_0(\mathbf{X})$ . Thus we deduce:

### Corollary

*Let  $(\mathbf{X}, \omega_X)$  be a -1-shifted symplectic derived stack. Then the classical Artin stack  $X = t_0(\mathbf{X})$  locally admits smooth atlases  $\varphi : U \rightarrow X$  with  $U = \mathbf{Crit}(f : S \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1)$ , for  $S$  a smooth scheme and  $f$  a regular function.*

## Calabi–Yau 3-fold moduli stacks

If  $Y$  is a Calabi–Yau 3-fold and  $\mathcal{M}$  a moduli stack of coherent sheaves  $F$  on  $Y$ , or complexes  $F^\bullet$  in  $D^b \text{coh}(Y)$  with  $\text{Ext}^{<0}(F^\bullet, F^\bullet) = 0$ , then by PTVV the corresponding derived moduli stack  $\mathcal{M}$  with  $t_0(\mathcal{M}) = \mathcal{M}$  has a  $-1$ -shifted symplectic structure  $\omega_{\mathcal{M}}$ . So the previous corollary gives:

### Corollary

*Suppose  $Y$  is a Calabi–Yau 3-fold and  $\mathcal{M}$  a classical moduli stack of coherent sheaves  $F$  on  $Y$ , or of complexes  $F^\bullet$  in  $D^b \text{coh}(Y)$  with  $\text{Ext}^{<0}(F^\bullet, F^\bullet) = 0$ . Then  $\mathcal{M}$  locally admits smooth atlases  $\varphi : U \rightarrow X$  with  $U = \text{Crit}(f : S \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1)$ , for  $S$  a smooth scheme.*

A holomorphic version of this was proved by Joyce and Song using gauge theory, and is important in Donaldson–Thomas theory. Bussi (work in progress) uses this to give a new algebraic proof of the ‘Behrend function identities’ in Donaldson–Thomas theory.