

$$k = \bar{k} \quad \text{char } k = 0$$

$G$  connected linear algebraic group over  $k$

$$\mathfrak{g} = \text{Lie}(G) \quad \text{Lie algebra of } G$$

recall :

(a) a (rational) representation of  $G$  on the  $k$ -vector space  $V$  is

a regular homomorphism

$$\rho: G \rightarrow GL(V)$$

(b) a representation of the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  on the vector space  $V$  is

a Lie algebra homomorphism

$$\sigma: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(V)$$

$\text{Rep}(G)$ ,  $\text{Rep}(\mathfrak{g})$  abelian categories  
of representations of  
 $G$  and  $\mathfrak{g}$  respectively

- Prop: Differentiation of the  $G$ -action  
gives a functor

$$\text{Rep}(G) \longrightarrow \text{Rep}(\mathfrak{g})$$

which is exact and fully faithful.

- remk: so  $\text{Rep}(G)$  may be regarded as  
a full subcategory of  $\text{Rep}(\mathfrak{g})$ .

- Example: (tori)  $G = T = (k^\times)^n$ ,  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h} = k^n$   
characters (i.e. 1-dim. chars)  
 $X(T) = \mathbb{Z}^n \subset \mathfrak{h}^* \cong k^n$

Thm: The following hold

(a)  $G$  is semisimple  $\iff \mathfrak{g}$  is semisimple

(b) if  $\mathfrak{g}$  is semisimple, then there exists a unique (up to isomorphism)  $\tilde{G}$  with  $\mathfrak{g} = \text{Lie}(\tilde{G})$  and s.t.

$\forall G$  s.t.  $\text{Lie}(G) = \mathfrak{g}$ , one has

$$G \cong \frac{\tilde{G}}{K} \quad \text{where } K \subset Z(\tilde{G})$$

[ $\tilde{G}$  is said to be simply connected]

(c) if  $G$  is semisimple and simply connected then

the functor

$$\text{Rep}(G) \longrightarrow \text{Rep}(\mathfrak{g})$$

is an equivalence

Example:  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C})$

$$\tilde{G} = SL_2(\mathbb{C}) \quad Z(\tilde{G}) = \{\pm I_2\}$$

$$\tilde{G}/Z(\tilde{G}) = PGL_2(\mathbb{C}) \cong SO_3(\mathbb{C})$$

$$\text{Rep}(SL_2(\mathbb{C})) \cong \text{Rep}(\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C}))$$

is a semisimple abelian category

- irreducible representations of  $SL_2(\mathbb{C})$ :

$$V_n \quad n \in \mathbb{N}$$

$$V_0 = \mathbb{C}, V_1 = \mathbb{C}^2 \quad \dots \quad V_n = \text{Sym}^n V_1 \cong \mathbb{C}[x, y]_n$$

- irreducible representations of  $SO_3(\mathbb{C})$ :

$$V_n \quad n \in 2\mathbb{N}$$

From now on

$G$  is connected and simply connected  
semisimple linear algebraic group

Let us study  $R = \text{Rep}(G) \cong \text{Rep}(\mathfrak{g})$

Thm: (Weyl)  $R$  is a semi-simple  
abelian category.

remarks on the proof:

(a) in fact the thm holds for the larger class  
of reductive groups:

- sketch of the proof over  $\mathbb{C}$
- let  $K \subset G$  be a maximal compact subgroup  
 $G$  reductive  $\Leftrightarrow K \subset G$  Zariski dense  
 $\Rightarrow R \cong \text{Rep}(K)$  which is semi-simple  
(Weyl unitary trick)

(b) This may also be proved by  
Lie algebra methods

- uses nondegeneracy of Killing form

Problems:

- (i) classify simple objects of  $\mathfrak{R}$
- (ii) decompose any rep. into its simple constituents

## Lie algebras way

- the universal enveloping algebra

Def: Let  $U(\mathfrak{g}) = T(\mathfrak{g}) / \mathcal{I}$

where  $\mathcal{I} \subset T(\mathfrak{g})$  is the two-sided ideal of the tensor algebra  $T(\mathfrak{g})$  generated by

$$x \otimes y - y \otimes x - [x, y] \quad x, y \in \mathfrak{g}$$

Prop: there is an equivalence of categories

$$\text{Rep}(\mathfrak{g}) \xrightarrow{\cong} U(\mathfrak{g})\text{-mod}(\mathfrak{g})$$

of  $\text{Rep}(\mathfrak{g})$  with the category of  $U(\mathfrak{g})$ -modules of finite dimension.

•  $U(\mathfrak{g})$  is a filtered algebra

$$T(\mathfrak{g}) = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathfrak{g}^{\otimes n}$$

is naturally graded  $T(\mathfrak{g})_n = \mathfrak{g}^{\otimes n}$

it induces the filtration  $T(\mathfrak{g})_{\leq n} = \bigoplus_{i=0}^n \mathfrak{g}^{\otimes i}$

the filtration on  $U(\mathfrak{g})$  is

$$U(\mathfrak{g})_{\leq n} = \frac{T(\mathfrak{g})_{\leq n}}{I_{\leq n}}$$

let  $(x_1, \dots, x_\ell)$  be a  $k$ -basis of  $\mathfrak{g}$

- $I$  is the two-sided ideal generated by

$$x_i x_j - x_j x_i - [x_i, x_j]$$

pf: by bilinearity.  $\square$

- let  $\text{gr } U(\mathfrak{g}) = \bigoplus_i \frac{U(\mathfrak{g})_{\leq i}}{U(\mathfrak{g})_{\leq i-1}}$  be

the associated graded algebra, then

there is a surjective map of graded algebras

$$\text{Sym}(\mathfrak{g}) \longrightarrow \text{gr}(U(\mathfrak{g}))$$

- Consider the following  $k[h]$ -algebra

$$\tilde{U}(\mathfrak{g}) = \frac{T(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_k k[h]}{(x \otimes y - y \otimes x - [x, y]h)}$$

$$\tilde{U}(\mathfrak{g}) / (h) = \text{Sym}(\mathfrak{g})$$

$$\tilde{U}(\mathfrak{g}) \cong \text{Sym}(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_k k[h]$$

as  $k[h]$ -modules

• Consequences :

• Thm (PBW) :  $\{ x_1^{a_1} \cdots x_\ell^{a_\ell} \mid a_1, \dots, a_\ell \in \mathbb{N} \}$   
is a  $k$ -basis of  $U(\mathfrak{g})$ .

• Thm :  $\text{gr}(U(\mathfrak{g})) \cong \text{Sym}(\mathfrak{g})$

recall:

$\mathfrak{g}$  semisimple Lie algebra

$\mathfrak{b}$  Borel subalgebra

$\mathfrak{h}$  Cartan subalgebra

$\Phi \subset E \subset \mathfrak{h}^*$  root system

$\Phi^+$  positive roots

$\Lambda = \{ \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_\ell \}$  simple roots

$\Lambda^\vee = \{ \alpha_1^\vee, \dots, \alpha_\ell^\vee \} \subset \mathfrak{h}$  simple coroots

$W = \frac{N_G(\mathfrak{h})}{Z_G(\mathfrak{h})}$  Weyl group

$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \sum_{\alpha \in R} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$  root space decomposition

$\mathfrak{g}_\alpha$  root space relative to the root  $\alpha$

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{n} \oplus \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{n}_-$$

$$\mathfrak{n} = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Phi^+} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha, \quad \mathfrak{b} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{n}$$

$$\mathfrak{n}_- = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Phi^-} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha, \quad \mathfrak{b}_- = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{n}_-$$

- weight space decomposition of a representation

$$V = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*} V_\lambda$$

$$V_\lambda = \left\{ v \in V \mid \forall h \in \mathfrak{h}, hv = \lambda(h)v \right\}$$

weight space w.r.t. the weight  $\lambda$

- $\text{ch } V = \sum_{\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*} \dim(V_\lambda) e^\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}[P]$

- $\text{ch}(V \oplus W) = \text{ch}(V) + \text{ch}(W)$

- $\text{ch}(V \otimes W) = \text{ch}(V) \cdot \text{ch}(W)$

- $x_\alpha \in \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$ ,  $v \in V_\lambda$ , then

$$x_\alpha v \in V_{\lambda+\alpha}$$

- Def: a weight vector  $v \in V_\lambda \subset V$  is a highest weight vector (of weight  $\lambda$ ) if

$$\forall \alpha \in \Phi^+, x_\alpha v = 0$$

- Prop: any fin. dim.  $\mathfrak{g}$ -rep.  $V$  has highest weight vectors.

So  $\text{Rep}^{(\mathfrak{g})}(\mathfrak{g})$  may be embedded in a bigger category of  $\mathfrak{g}$ -reps.

Def: category  $\mathcal{O}$

- full subcategory of  $\text{Rep}(\mathfrak{g})$
- $V \in \mathcal{O}$  if
  - (a) it is finitely generated
  - (b)  $V = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*} V_{\lambda}$  it is a weight module
  - (c)  $U(\mathfrak{n})$  acts locally nilpotently  
i.e.  $\forall x \in U(\mathfrak{n}), \forall v \in V$   
 $x^n v = 0$  for  $n \gg 0$

remk: Equivalently,  $\mathcal{O}$  is the category of finitely generated  $U(\mathfrak{g})$ -modules s.t. the  $\mathfrak{n}$ -action integrates to a (rational)  $\mathfrak{v}$ -action

Def: Verma modules

$$\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^* \quad \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{b}) \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{h}) \xrightarrow{\lambda} \mathbb{C}$$

$$M_\lambda = \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{b})} \mathbb{C}_\lambda$$

where  $\mathbb{C}_\lambda$  is the  $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{b})$ -rep. given by  $\lambda$

$M_\lambda$  is the Verma module of highest weight  $\lambda$

Prop:

- $M_\lambda = \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{n}_-) v_\lambda$ , with  $v_\lambda$  highest weight vector of weight  $\lambda$ .
- there is an isomorphism of functors

$$\text{Hom}(M_\lambda, V) \cong V_\lambda^\pi$$

• consequences:

(a)  $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}_-)$   $\rightarrow$   $M_\lambda$  is an iso of  $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}_-)$ -modules  
 $x \mapsto x v_\lambda$

(b)  $M', M'' \subsetneq M_\lambda \Rightarrow M' + M'' \subsetneq M_\lambda$

(c)  $M_\lambda$  is indecomposable  
and contains a maximum proper  
submodule  $R_\lambda$

(d)  $L_\lambda = M_\lambda / R_\lambda$  is irreducible  
of highest weight  $\lambda$

(e)  $L_\lambda \cong L_\mu \Leftrightarrow \lambda = \mu$

and

$L$  simple in  $\mathcal{G} \Rightarrow L = L_\lambda$  for some  
 $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$

Def:  $P^+$  is the set of dominant integral weights

$$\text{i.e. } \langle \lambda, \alpha_i^\vee \rangle \in \mathbb{N}$$

for all simple coroots  $\alpha_i^\vee \in \Lambda^\vee$

Prop:  $\dim L_\lambda < \infty \iff \lambda \in P^+$ .

proof: (sketch)

Follows by restricting the  $\mathfrak{g}$ -action to the copies of  $\mathfrak{sl}_2(k)$  inside  $\mathfrak{g}$  corresponding to the simple roots.  $\square$

remarks on characters :

•  $ch(L_\lambda)$  for  $\lambda \in P^+$

is known by

the Weyl character formula

•  $ch(\mathbb{H}_\lambda) = ch(U(\mathfrak{n}_-)) e^\lambda$

• one way to determine  $ch(L_\lambda)$

is to express  $L_\lambda$  in terms of Verma

modules  $\mathbb{H}_\mu$ .

• for  $\lambda \in P^+$ , the BGG resolution

is a resolution of  $L_\lambda$  in terms of (sums of)

Verma modules.

- knowledge of the composition multiplicities

$$[M_{\mu} : L_{\lambda}] \quad \forall \lambda, \mu \in \mathfrak{h}^*$$

is equivalent to the knowledge of the irreducible characters

$$\text{ch}(L_{\lambda}) \quad \lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$$

the Kazhdan-Lusztig conjecture gives an expression of  $[M_{\mu} : L_{\lambda}]$

in terms of combinatorial objects (the Kazhdan-Lusztig polynomials)

steps in the proof of the KL conjecture

(for regular integral weights)

- (KL I '79)
- definition of KL polynomials in terms of combinatorics of Coxeter group
  - statement of KL conjecture

- (KL II '80)
- interpretation of KL polynomials in terms of intersection cohomology of Schubert varieties

(Kashiwara, Mukohyō '80)

RH-correspondence perverse sheaves  $(\rightsquigarrow)$  <sup>reg-hol.</sup>  $\mathcal{D}$ -modules

( Beilinson-Bernstein  
 Brylinski-Kashiwara '81 )

- equivalence between  $\mathfrak{g}$ -modules (with a fixed central character) and  $\mathcal{D}$ -modules on  $G/B$

KL conj. (KLI '79)

KL pol.

$[M_\mu: L_\alpha]$

(KLI '80)

IC complex

$\mathcal{D}$ -modules

BB-loc.

(BB, BK '81)

RH conj.

(KM, '80)

Example:  $G = SL_2(k)$

irreducible reps:  $L_m$ ,  $m \in \mathbb{N}$

$$L_m = \text{Sym}^m(k^2)$$

observe:

$$L_m = H^0(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{O}(m))$$

$$\text{and } \mathbb{P}^1 = G/B$$

Moreover, by Serre duality,

$$H^i(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{O}(m)) \cong H^{1-i}(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{O}(-2-m))$$

this gives the cohomology spaces for all line bundles on  $\mathbb{P}^1$ .

the example generalizes to the

Borel-Weil-Bott thm:

thm: (Borel-Weil-Bott)

Let  $\rho \in X(T)$  s.t.  $\forall \alpha^\vee \in \Lambda^\vee, \langle \rho, \alpha^\vee \rangle = 1$ .

For  $\lambda \in X(T)$ , let  $\mathcal{L}_\lambda$  be corresponding line bundle on  $G/B$ .

Suppose  $\lambda \in X(T)^+$  (roots of  $B$  being negative) and  $w \in W$ . Then

$$\bullet H^{l(w)}(G/B, \mathcal{L}_{w \cdot \lambda}) \cong \mathcal{L}_\lambda$$

and

$$\bullet H^i(G/B, \mathcal{L}_{w \cdot \lambda}) = 0 \text{ for } i \neq l(w)$$

## G-equivariant vector bundles

Def: a vector bundle on  $Y$  is a map

$$\begin{array}{c} X \\ \downarrow \pi \\ Y \end{array}$$

with compatible local trivializations

$$\pi^{-1}(U) \cong U \times V$$

for  $V$  a fixed vector space and  $U$  small enough open subset of  $Y$ .

Def: a section of  $\pi$  on  $U$  is a map

$$s: U \rightarrow \pi^{-1}(U)$$

$$\text{s.t. } \pi \circ s = \text{id}_U$$

Def: •  $\mathcal{L}(X)$  is the sheaf of sections of  $X \rightarrow Y$ .

•  $H^0(Y, \mathcal{L}(X)) = \{ s: Y \rightarrow X \mid \pi \circ s = \text{id}_Y \}$   
is the space of global sections of  $\mathcal{L}(X)$ .

the functor  $H^0$  is not exact in general,  
it is only left-exact

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{C} \rightarrow 0 \mapsto 0 \rightarrow H^0(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow H^0(\mathcal{B}) \rightarrow H^0(\mathcal{C})$$

Such left-exact short exact sequence may  
be extended to a long exact sequence by  
considering higher cohomology groups:

$$0 \rightarrow H^0(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow H^0(\mathcal{B}) \rightarrow H^0(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow$$

$$\dots \rightarrow H^i(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow H^i(\mathcal{B}) \rightarrow H^i(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow H^{i+1}(\mathcal{A})$$

...

Def: a  $G$ -equivariant vector bundle  
is the datum of:

(a) a vector bundle  $\pi: X \rightarrow Y$

(b) a  $G$ -action on  $X$  and  $Y$  such that

(i)  $\pi$  is  $G$ -equivariant

(ii)  $G$  acts linearly on the fibres of  $\pi$   
(which are vector spaces)

$G$  acts on  $H^0(Y, \mathcal{L}(X))$  by

$$(g \cdot s)(y) = g(s(g^{-1}y)) \quad \begin{array}{l} \text{for } g \in G \\ s \in H^0(Y, \mathcal{L}(X)) \\ y \in Y \end{array}$$

fact: In good cases, this gives representations of  $G$   
on the cohomology spaces. (e.g.  $Y = G/B$ )

## associated bundles

$H \subset G$  subgroup

$G/H$  is a homogeneous  $G$ -space

Def: Let  $V \in \text{Rep}(H)$ , then

$$G \times^H V = G \times V / H$$

where the  $H$ -action is given by

$$h(g, v) = (gh^{-1}, hv)$$

the map  $G \times^H V \rightarrow G/H$   
 $(g, v) \mapsto gH$

is a  $G$ -equivariant vector bundle on  $G/H$   
with fiber  $V$  over  $H$ .

Prop: The functor

$$\text{Rep}(H) \longrightarrow \text{Vect}_G(G/H)$$

$$V \longmapsto G \times^H V$$

is an equivalence of abelian categories.

Consequence:  $\text{Pic}_G(G/B) \cong X(T)$

i.e. the  $G$ -equivariant line bundles on  $G/B$  are of the form

$$\mathcal{L}_\lambda = G \times^B \mathbb{C}_\lambda \quad \lambda \in X(T)$$

rank: in fact, one has, for  $G$  simply connected,

$$\text{Pic}_G(G/B) = \text{Pic}(G/B)$$

## Outline of the proof of the BVB theorem

(i) for  $s \in S$  simple reflection,  
 $\lambda \in X(T)$  s.t.  $s \cdot \lambda < \lambda$ ,  
there are short exact sequences

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_{s \cdot \lambda} \rightarrow \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}'' \rightarrow 0$$

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}' \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_\lambda \rightarrow 0$$

where  $H^i(\mathcal{N}') = H^i(\mathcal{N}'') = 0 \quad \forall i$

hence

$$H^i(\mathcal{L}_\lambda) \cong H^{i+1}(\mathcal{M}) \cong H^{i+1}(\mathcal{L}_{s \cdot \lambda})$$

(ii) iterating, one gets

for  $\lambda \in X(T)^+$ ,  $w \in W$

$$H^{i+l(w)}(\mathcal{L}_{w \cdot \lambda}) \cong H^i(\mathcal{L}_\lambda)$$

(iii)  $H^0(\mathcal{L}_n)$  if nonzero is irreducible:

indeed, Bruhat decomposition implies

$$\dim H^0(\mathcal{L}_n)^{\mathfrak{n}} = 1$$

so  $H^0(\mathcal{L}_n)$  is irreducible by  
highest weight theory

rank:

step (i) is proved by using the  $SL_2$  case  
and the fact that

$$H^i(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{O}(-1)) = 0 \quad \forall i$$

Appendix A: equality with the Rees construction

$$\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}) = \frac{T(\mathfrak{g})}{I}$$

$$R = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})_{\leq n} t^n$$

$$R' = \frac{T(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes k[t]}{x \otimes y - y \otimes x - t[x, y]}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} T(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes k[t] & \longrightarrow & R \\ x & \longmapsto & xt \end{array}$$

$$xtyt - yt xt = [x, y] t^2$$

so the map  $\varphi$  factorizes through

$$R' \longrightarrow R$$

$$R' \rightarrow R$$

is a graded map of graded  $K[t]$ -algebras.

it is an isomorphism by the PBW thm

Appendix B:  
Outline:

- $G \rightarrow GL(V)$  ,  $\mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(V)$
- $\text{Rep}(G) \xrightarrow{d} \text{Rep}^{(\mathfrak{g})}(\mathfrak{g})$  fully faithful
- $\text{Rep}(G)$  and  $\text{Rep}^{(\mathfrak{g})}(\mathfrak{g})$  are in general not s.s.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Rep}(G) & & G \\ \text{Rep}^{(\mathfrak{g})}(\mathfrak{g}) & \text{semi simple} \iff & \mathfrak{g} \text{ semi simple} \end{array}$$

- unitary trick ,  $\text{Rep}(K)$   $K$  maximal compact
- nondegeneracy of Killing form
- $\tilde{G}$  simply connected
- $\text{Rep}(G) \cong \text{Rep}^{(\mathfrak{g})}(\mathfrak{g})$  for  $G$  simply conn. s.s.

- $Sh_2(k) \rightarrow PGL_2(k) \cong SO_3(k)$ ,  $sl_2(k)$

- Pb: classification and structure of irreducibles

Appendix C:

BGG resolution of  $L_\lambda$  (BGG 175)

$$\lambda \in P^+$$

$$\dots \rightarrow C_1 \rightarrow C_0 \rightarrow L_\lambda \rightarrow 0$$

where  $C_i = \bigoplus_{\substack{w \in W \\ l(w)=i}} M_{w \cdot \lambda}$

e.g.  $C_0 = M_\lambda$

appendix D:

Weyl character formula  $\lambda \in P^+$

$$\text{ch}(L_\lambda) = \frac{\sum_{w \in W} \varepsilon(w) e^{w(\lambda + \rho)}}{\prod_{\alpha \in \Delta^+} (e^{\alpha/2} - e^{-\alpha/2})}$$

# Kazhdan-Lusztig conjecture

$$\lambda \quad w_0 \cdot \lambda'$$

$$\lambda \in P^+$$

$$w_0 \cdot \lambda$$

$$\text{ch}(M_{w_0 \cdot \lambda}) = \sum_{y \in W} P_{m, y}(\lambda) \text{ch}(L_{y \cdot \lambda})$$

may be inverted

$$\text{ch}(L_{w_0 \cdot \lambda'}) = \sum_{y \in W} \binom{l(w) - l(y)}{\lambda} P_{y, w}(\lambda) \text{ch}(M_{y \cdot \lambda'})$$

$$\boxed{[M_{w_0 \cdot \lambda} : L_{y \cdot \lambda}] = P_{m, y}(\lambda)}$$

Jordan-Hölder multiplicity

"  
value of Kazhdan-Lusztig polynomial

